

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

HAROLD MACLIN)	CASE NO.
5867 Sunset Drive)	
Bedford Hts., OH 44146)	JUDGE
)	
on behalf of himself and all others similarly)	
situated,)	<u>PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT</u>
)	
Plaintiff,)	(Jury Demand Endorsed Herein)
)	
vs.)	
)	
RELIABLE REPORTS OF TEXAS, INC.)	
D/B/A RELIABLE REPORTS, INC.)	
c/o Statutory Agent National Registered)	
Agents, Inc.)	
4400 Easton Commons Way Suite 125)	
Columbus, OH 43219)	
)	
Defendant.)	

Now comes Plaintiff Harold Maclin, by and through counsel, and for his Complaint against Reliable Reports of Texas, Inc. d/b/a Reliable Reports, Inc. (“Defendant”), states and alleges the following:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a “collective action” instituted by Plaintiff as a result of Defendant’s practice and policy of failing to pay Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek, in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”), 29 U.S.C. 201-219 as well as a “class action” pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 to remedy violations of the Ohio Minimum Fair Wage Standards Act (“OMFWSA”), R.C. 4111.03.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

3. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' OMFWSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1367 because the claims are so related to the FLSA claims as to form part of the same case or controversy.

4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant conducts business throughout this District and Division and because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District and Division.

PARTIES

5. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Harold Maclin ("Plaintiff") was a citizen of the United States and a resident of Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

6. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(e) and R.C. 4111.03(D)(3).

7. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was a foreign corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, licensed to conduct business in the State of Ohio, and conducting business in Ohio under the fictitious name "Reliable Reports, Inc."

8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an employer within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and R.C. 4111.03(D)(2).

9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an enterprise within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

10. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1).

11. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an employee engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206-207 as he used tools, products, and equipment that traveled in interstate commerce.

12. Written consents to join this action as to Count One, as and when executed by other individual plaintiffs, will be filed pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

13. Defendant is a home inspection company that performs property and casualty insurance inspections and prepares corresponding reports for its clients.

14. Defendant employed Plaintiff as a property inspector between July 2016 and March 2017.

15. Other similarly-situated employees were employed by Defendant as property inspectors.

16. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated property inspectors were non-exempt employees.

17. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated property inspectors were paid by the number of properties they inspected (a piece rate).

(Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation)

18. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated property inspectors regularly worked over 40 hours per week.

19. However, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and other similarly-situated property inspectors overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek.

20. Instead, Plaintiff and other similarly-situated property inspectors were only paid their piece rate for their overtime hours.

21. Defendant knowingly and willfully engaged in the above-mentioned violations of the FLSA.

(Failure to Keep Accurate Records)

22. Defendant failed to make, keep and preserve accurate records of the unpaid overtime worked by Plaintiff and other similarly-situated property inspectors.

(Defendant Willfully Violated the FLSA)

23. Defendant knowingly and willfully engaged in the above-mentioned violations of the FLSA.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

24. Plaintiff brings Count One of this action on his own behalf pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b), and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated who have been, are being, or will be adversely affected by Defendant's unlawful conduct.

25. The class which Plaintiff seeks to represent and for whom Plaintiff seeks the right to send "opt-in" notices for purposes of the collective action, and of which Plaintiff himself is a member, is composed of and defined as follows:

All current and former property inspectors employed by Reliable Reports of Texas, Inc. d/b/a Reliable Reports, Inc. at any time between December 13, 2014 and the present.

26. Plaintiff estimates that on average he worked approximately 10 to 15 overtime hours per week.

27. Plaintiff is unable to state at this time the exact size of the potential class, by upon information and belief, avers that it consists of at least 100 persons.

28. This action is maintainable as an “opt-in” collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b) as to claims for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys’ fees and costs under the FLSA. In addition to Plaintiff, numerous current and former employees are similarly situated with regard to their wages and claims for unpaid wages and damages. Plaintiff is representative of those other employees and is acting on behalf of their interests as well as his own in bringing this action.

29. These similarly-situated employees are known to Defendant and are readily identifiable through Defendant’s payroll records. These individuals may readily be notified of this action, and allowed to opt in pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b), for the purpose of collectively adjudicating their claims for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys’ fees and costs under the FLSA.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION

30. Plaintiff brings Count Two of this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of himself and all other members of the class (“the Ohio Class”) defined as:

All current and former property inspectors employed by Reliable Reports of Texas, Inc. d/b/a Reliable Reports, Inc. any time between December 13, 2014 and the present.

31. The Ohio Class is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable. Plaintiff is unable to state at this time the exact size of the potential Ohio Class, but upon information and belief, avers that it consists of at least 100 persons.

32. There are questions of law or fact common to the Ohio Class, including but not limited to the following:

(a) whether Defendant failed to pay overtime compensation to its property inspectors for hours worked in excess of 40 each workweek; and

(b) what amount of monetary relief will compensate Plaintiff Harold Maclin and other members of the class for Defendant's violation of R.C. 4111.03 and 4111.10.

33. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of other members of the Ohio Class. Named Plaintiff's claims arise out of the same uniform course of conduct by Defendant, and are based on the same legal theories, as the claims of the other Ohio Class members.

34. Named Plaintiff Harold Maclin will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Ohio Class. His interests are not antagonistic to, but rather are in unison with, the interests of the other Ohio Class members. The named Plaintiff's counsel has broad experience in handling class action wage-and-hour litigation, and is fully qualified to prosecute the claims of the Ohio Class in this case.

35. The questions of law or fact that are common to the Ohio Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. The primary questions that will determine Defendant's liability to the Ohio Class, listed above, are common to the class as a whole, and predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members.

36. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Requiring Ohio Class members to pursue their claims individually would entail a host of separate suits, with concomitant duplication of costs, attorneys' fees, and demands on court resources. Many Ohio Class members' claims are sufficiently small that they would be reluctant to incur the substantial cost, expense, and risk of pursuing their claims individually. Certification of this case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 will enable the issues to be adjudicated for all class members with the efficiencies of class litigation.

COUNT ONE
(Fair Labor Standards Act Violations)

37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully rewritten herein.

38. Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly-situated property inspectors overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 207, 29 C.F.R. 778.111.

39. Defendant's failure to keep records of all of the hours worked each workday and the total hours worked each workweek by Plaintiff and other similarly-situated property inspectors violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219, 29 C.F.R. 516.2(a)(7).

40. By engaging in the above-described practices and policies, Defendant willfully, knowingly and/or recklessly violated the provisions of the FLSA.

41. As a result of Defendant's practices and policies, Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees have been damaged in that they have not received wages due to them pursuant to the FLSA.

COUNT TWO
(Violations of Ohio Revised Code 4111.03)

42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully rewritten herein.

43. Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly-situated property inspectors overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek violated the OMFWSA, R.C. 4111.03.

44. By failing to pay Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees' overtime compensation, Defendant willfully, knowingly and/or recklessly violated the provisions of the OMFWSA, R.C. 4111.03.

45. As a result of Defendant's practices and policies, Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees have been damaged in that they have not received wages due to them pursuant to the OMFWSA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, collectively pray that this Honorable Court:

- A. Issue an order permitting this litigation to proceed as a collective action, and certifying the class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. R. 23(a) and (b)(3);
- B. Order prompt notice, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b), to all class members that this litigation is pending and that they have the right to "opt in" to this litigation;
- C. Award Plaintiff and the class he represents actual damages for unpaid wages;
- D. Award Plaintiff and the class he represents liquidated damages equal in amount to the unpaid wages found due to Plaintiff and the class;
- E. Award Plaintiff and the class he represents pre- and post-judgment interest at the statutory rate;
- F. Award Plaintiff and the class he represents attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements; and
- G. Award Plaintiff and the class he represents further and additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lori M. Griffin
Lori M. Griffin (0085241)
Chastity L. Christy (0076977)
Anthony J. Lazzaro (0077962)
The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC
920 Rockefeller Building
614 W. Superior Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44113
Phone: 216-696-5000
Facsimile: 216-696-7005
lori@lazzarolawfirm.com
chastity@lazzarolawfirm.com
anthony@lazzarolawfirm.com

Don J. Foty (will apply for admission *pro hac vice*)
KENNEDY HODGES, L.L.P.
Texas State Bar No. 24050022
4409 Montrose Blvd., Suite 200
Houston, TX 77006
Telephone: (713) 523-0001
Facsimile: (713) 523-1116
dfoty@kennedyhodges.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all eligible claims and issues.

/s/ Lori M. Griffin
One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff